## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Tyrelle Webster, :

Petitioner(s),

: Case Number: 1:16cv879

vs. :

Judge Susan J. Dlott

Warden, Mansfield Correctional Institution,

:

Respondent(s).

## **ORDER**

The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate

Judge Karen L. Litkovitz filed on April 6, 2017 (Doc. 14), to whom this case was referred

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b), and noting that no objections have been filed thereto and that the

time for filing such objections under Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) expired April 20, 2017 hereby

ADOPTS said Report and Recommendation.

Accordingly, respondent's motion to dismiss (Doc. 13) is GRANTED. The petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254 (Doc. 8) is DISMISSED with prejudice on the ground that the petition is time-barred under 28 U.S.C. §2244(d).

A certificate of appealability will not issue with respect to any of the claims for relief alleged in the petition, which this Court has concluded are barred from review on a procedural ground, because under the first prong of the applicable two-part standard enunciated in *Slack v*. *McDanaiel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484-85 (2000), "jurists of reason" will not find it debatable whether the Court is correct in its procedural ruling.

With respect to any application by petitioner to proceed on appeal *in forma pauperis*, the Court will certify pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915(a)(3) that an appeal of any Order adopting the

Report and Recommendation will not be taken in "good faith," therefore DENYING petitioner

leave to appeal in forma pauperis upon a showing of financial necessity. See Fed. R. App. P.

24(a); Kincade v. Sparkman 117 F.3d 949, 952 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1997).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Susan J. Dlott

Judge Susan J. Dlott

United States District Court